Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: 77D356DD-5C9C-4133-8249-CC21C59166E2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

| DEPARTMENT NAME  | CONTACT PERSON                                 | —DS                 | EMAIL ADD        | DRESS                                  | TELEPHONE NUMBER   |
|--|--|---------------------|------------------|--|--------------------|
| California Conservation Corps  | <del>-Je-rey</del> Schwarzschil <mark>d</mark> | SI                  | je-rey.s         | schwarzschild@ccc.ca.gov               | (916) 341-3133     |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400   | Jeffrey  |                     | jeffrey          | —DS                                    | NOTICE FILE NUMBER |
| Selection Process for Private Architectura   | l and Engineering Fir                          | ms                  |                  | SL                                     | Z                  |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC   | TC Include calculations                        | and assumptions     | in the ru        |  |                    |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC   | -13 include calculations                       | una assamptions     | III tile rui     | erriaking record.                      |                    |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate   | whether this regulation:                       |                     |                  |  |                    |
| $\overline{	imes}$ a. Impacts business and/or employees  | e. Imposes                                     | reporting require   | ements           |  |                    |
| <ul><li>⋈ b. Impacts small businesses</li><li>f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance</li></ul> |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| c. Impacts jobs or occupations g. Impacts individuals  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| d. Impacts California competitiveness  | h. None of                                     | the above (Explai   | n below)         |  |                    |
|  | (Please s                                      | ee attached)        |                  |  |                    |
| If any box in Items 1 a  | 0 0  | -                   |                  | -                                      |                    |
| If box in Item 1.h. is   | checked, complete the                          | Fiscal Impact       | Statem           | ent as appropriate.                    |                    |
| California Conservation Corp   | OS   |                     |                  | Interior District of Acording          | Caraltanana        |
| 2. The(Agency/Department)  | estimates that th                              | ie economic impa    | ict of this      | regulation (which includes the         | fiscal impact) is: |
| ───────────────────────────────────  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| Between \$10 and \$25 million  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| Between \$25 and \$50 million  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
|  | avarėto milliam agancias                       | are required to sub | onit a Cta       | ndardizad Dagulatan Joan act Ac        | - accompant        |
| Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is as specified in Government                                | nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]                    | are required to suc | лни а <u>эtа</u> | <u>maaraizea keguiatory impact Ass</u> | <u>essment</u>     |
|  | Unlengue                                       |                     |                  |  |                    |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:  | Unknown  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpi  | rofite). Architectural ar                      | nd anainearin       | a firms          | that choose to hid on st               | rate contracts     |
|  | onis). Architecturar ar                        | id engineerin       | y IIIIII3        | that choose to blu on st               | ate contracts      |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:                 | Unknown  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| businesses impacted that are small businesses.   | OTIKTOWT                                       |                     |                  |  |                    |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea  | ited: 0  | eliminated:         | 0                |  |                    |
|  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| Explain: The regulations are not expec   | ted to create or elim                          | inate any bus       | sinesses         | <b>5.</b>                              |                    |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:  | Statewide                                      |                     |                  |  |                    |
| 5. maleate the geographic extent of impacts.   | 1  |                     |                  |  |                    |
|  | Local or regional (List are                    | as):                |                  |  |                    |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0   | and eliminated                                 | : 0                 |                  |  |                    |
| ,  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact   | cted: These regulation                         | ns are not exp      | ected 1          | to create or eliminate an              | y jobs or          |
| occupations.   |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
|  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
| 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California  |  |                     |                  | _                                      |                    |
| other states by making it more costly to produce   | e goods or services nere?                      | YES                 | $\times$ N       | U                                      |                    |
| If YES, explain briefly:   |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
|  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
|  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
|  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |
|  |  |                     |                  |  |                    |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

### (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

| <b>B. ESTIMATED COSTS</b> Include calculations and assumptions in   | n the rulemaking record.   |   |
|---|--|---|
| What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and in  | dividuals may incur to comply with this regula                     | ation over its lifetime? \$ 0                     |
| a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0  | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0   | Years: N/A  |
| b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0  | Annual ongoing costs: $\$$ 0                                       | Years: N/A  |
| c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0   | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0   | Years: N/A  |
| d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: None.  |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| 2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total cost   | ·  |   |
| individuals. Businesses are not required to app   | oly for contracting opportunities v                                | with the Department.                              |
| 3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the and Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, report |  |   |
| 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?  | ⊠ NO   |   |
| If YES, ente  | er the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$                     |   |
|   | Number of units:   |   |
| 5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES  | × NO   |   |
| Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or abs  | ence of Federal regulations: The California                        | a Constitution requires a state agency            |
| to promulgate regulations in order to contract  |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that  | may be due to State - Federal differences: \$                      | )   |
| C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits  | efits is not specifically required by rulemaking                   | law, but encouraged.                              |
| Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may inchealth and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the      | clude among others, the le State's environment: lese regulations a | illow the Department to contract                  |
| with private architectural and engineering firm   | ns, thereby enabling the Departme                                  | nt to resolve and avoid project delays            |
| that may otherwise jeopardize worker safety.  |  |   |
| 2. Are the benefits the result of: $\boxed{\times}$ specific statutory requirement  | nts, or goals developed by the agency ba                           | ased on broad statutory authority?                |
| Explain: The benefits of contracting are listed in the  | e "Fair Competition and Taxpayer Sa                                | avings Act" (Proposition 35).                     |
| 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over  | its lifetime? \$ unquantifiable                                    | <u></u>   |
| 4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing bu  | usiness within the State of California that woul                   | d result from this regulation:These               |
| regulations increase business opportunities for   |  | <u> </u>  |
| firms to complete necessary projects.   |  |   |
| mins to complete necessary projects.  |  |   |
| D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculation specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.                        | s and assumptions in the rulemaking record.                        | Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not |
| List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives considered and describe them below.                          | arnatives were considered explain why not.                         | In alternatives were considered as                |
| Government Code section 4526 requires the D   |  |   |
| ·   | - Promone as promongate regulati                                   |   |
| architectural and engineering firms.  |  |   |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: 77D356DD-5C9C-4133-8249-CC21C59166E2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

|                                  |   | ECONO   | WHE IVII ACT STAT   | EMENT (CONTIL                   | (UED)                  |                          |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2. Summarize th                  | e total statewi                           | de costs and benefits f                               | rom this regulation and each a  | Iternative considered:          |                        |                          |
| Regulation:                      | Benefit: \$                               | unquantifiable  | Cost: \$ <u>0</u>   | _                               |                        |                          |
| Alternative 1                    | : Benefit: \$                             | N/A   | Cost: \$ N/A  | _                               |                        |                          |
| Alternative 2                    | : Benefit: \$                             | N/A   | Cost: \$ N/A  | _                               |                        |                          |
|                                  |   | tion issues that are rele<br>efits for this regulatio |   |                                 |                        |                          |
|                                  |   |   |   |                                 |                        |                          |
| regulation ma                    | andates the us                            | e of specific technolo                                | rformance standards as an alt<br>ogies or equipment, or prescril<br>ords considered to lower comp | oes specific                    | × NO                   |                          |
| Explain: The                     | ese regulati                              | ons prescribe pro                                     | ocedures for awarding a   | architectural and eng           | ineering contra        | cts to ensure a fair and |
| equitable                        | process ar                                | nd to ensure pub                                      | olic funds are not missp  | ent.                            |                        |                          |
|                                  |   |   |   |                                 |                        |                          |
| E. MAJOR REG                     |   |   | d assumptions in the rulemak  |                                 |                        |                          |
|                                  |   |   | Protection Agency (Cal/EPA<br>g (per Health and Safety C  |                                 |                        |                          |
| 1. Will the estim                | ated costs of th                          | nis regulation to Califo                              | rnia business enterprises <b>exce</b>   | ed \$10 million? YES            | $\overline{\times}$ NO |                          |
|                                  |   |   | If YES, complete<br>If NO, skip   |                                 |                        |                          |
| 2. Briefly describ               | oe each alterna                           | tive, or combination o                                | f alternatives, for which a cost-   | effectiveness analysis was po   | erformed:              |                          |
| Alternative 1:                   | :   |   |   |                                 |                        |                          |
| Alternative 2:                   | :   |   |   |                                 |                        |                          |
| (Attach additio                  | onal pages for c                          | other alternatives)                                   |   |                                 |                        |                          |
| 3 For the regula                 | ation and each                            | n alternative just descr                              | ibed, enter the estimated total   | cost and overall cost-effective | veness ratio:          |                          |
| _                                | Total Cost \$                             | rancinative just descr                                | Cost-effectiveness  |                                 | veness ratio.          |                          |
| _                                | : Total Cost \$                           |   | Cost-effectivenes:  |                                 |                        |                          |
| Alternative 2:                   | -<br>: Total Cost \$                      |   | Cost-effectiveness  | ratio: \$                       |                        |                          |
| 4. Will the regula exceeding \$5 | -<br>ntion subject to<br>0 million in any |   | estimated economic impact to l<br>tween the date the major regul                                  | ousiness enterprises and ind    |                        |                          |
| YES                              | $\overline{\times}$ NO                    |   |   |                                 |                        |                          |
|                                  |   |   | <u>ed Regulatory Impact Assessmen</u><br>the SRIA in the Initial Statement                        |                                 |                        |                          |
| 5. Briefly describ               | e the following                           | g:  |   |                                 |                        |                          |
| s The increase                   | or decrease of                            | investment in the Stat                                | e: N/A  |                                 |                        |                          |
| <i></i>                          |   |   |   |                                 |                        |                          |
| The incentive                    | e for innovation                          | n in products, materials                              | s or processes: N/A   |                                 |                        |                          |
|                                  |   |   | limited to, benefits to the heal  |                                 |                        |                          |
|                                  | •   |   | ent and quality of life, among a  |                                 | ·                      | ese regulations allow    |
| . the Depar                      | tment to res                              | solve and avoid pr                                    | oject delays that may oth   | nerwise ieopardize wor          | rker satety.           |                          |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

### DocuSign Envelope ID: 77D356DD-5C9C-4133-8249-CC21C59166E2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

|          | SISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVE<br>urrent year and two subsequent Fis  |                              | priate boxes 1 through   | 6 and attach calculations and assur                                   | mptions of fiscal impact for the |  |
|----------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
|          | 1. Additional expenditures in the c<br>(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article )   |                              |                          | y the State. (Approximate)<br>7500 et seq. of the Government Cod      | e).                              |  |
|          | \$   |                              |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          | a. Funding provided in   |                              |                          |   | -                                |  |
|          | Budget Act of  | or Ch                        | napter                   | , Statutes of   | _                                |  |
|          | b. Funding will be requested in  | n the Governor's Budget A    |                          |   | -                                |  |
|          |  | Fiscal                       |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          | <ol><li>Additional expenditures in the c<br/>(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article)</li></ol>  |                              |                          | ole by the State. (Approximate)<br>7500 et seq. of the Government Cod | e).                              |  |
|          | \$   |                              |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          | Check reason(s) this regulation is no  | t reimbursable and provide   | the appropriate informa  | tion:   |                                  |  |
|          | a. Implements the Federal ma   | ndate contained in           |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          | b. Implements the court mand   | date set forth by the        |                          |   | Court.                           |  |
|          | Cas  | se of:                       |                          | Vs  |                                  |  |
|          | c. Implements a mandate of the   | ne people of this State exp  | ressed in their approva  | of Proposition No.  |                                  |  |
|          | Date of Elect  | tion:                        |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          | d. Issued only in response to a  | specific request from affe   | cted local entity(s).    |   |                                  |  |
|          | Local entity(s) affec  | cted:                        |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          |  |                              |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          | e. Will be fully financed from t   | he fees, revenue, etc. from  | :                        |   |                                  |  |
|          | Authorized by Sec  | ction:                       | of the                   |   | Code;                            |  |
|          | f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; |                              |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          | g. Creates, eliminates, or chan  | ges the penalty for a new o  | crime or infraction cont | ained in  |                                  |  |
|          | 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)   |                              |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          | \$   |                              |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          |  |                              | chnical, non-substantive | or clarifying changes to current law r                                | egulations.                      |  |
| $\times$ | 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regul   | ation does not affect any lo | cal entity or program.   |   |                                  |  |
|          | 6. Other. Explain  |                              |                          |   |                                  |  |
|          |  |                              |                          |   |                                  |  |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: 77D356DD-5C9C-4133-8249-CC21C59166E2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| <b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculation year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.           | ns and assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren |
|---|--|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |  |
| \$  |  |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will:   |  |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.   |  |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year   |  |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |  |
| \$  |  |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.  |  |
| 🔀 4. Other. Explain No fiscal impact on Department because the cost of contracting und  | der the regulations will be comparable             |
| to the cost of contracting through DGS.   |  |
|   |  |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | and attach calculations and assumptions of fisca   |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |  |
| \$  |  |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |  |
| \$  |  |
|   |  |
| 4. Other. Explain   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE  | DATE   |
| Dawne Bortolayzo  | 9/20/2022  |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in Sa  |  |
| he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency ighest ranking official in the organization.             | Secretary must have the form signed by the         |
| AGENCY SECRETARY  | DATE   |
| So the second   | 9/19/2022  |
| Enance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of F.  |  |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER  | DATE   |
| \   |  |
|   |  |